

Hands-on Tutorial on Optimization

F. Eberle, R. Hoeksma, and N. Megow

September 28, 2018

Column Generation

Cutting Stock

Problem: Cutting Stock

Given: Length $W \in \mathbb{N}$

n orders with

demand $d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and a

length $w_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l_i \leq L$

Task: Minimize the number of rolls of length W
while satisfying the demand

Interactive: A First LP for Cutting Stock

Definition (Cutting Pattern)

A cutting pattern is a vector $x \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, where x_i denotes the number of short rolls of length w_i cut out of a long roll.

Interactive: A First LP for Cutting Stock

Definition (Cutting Pattern)

A cutting pattern is a vector $x \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, where x_i denotes the number of short rolls of length w_i cut out of a long roll.

$K := \sum_{i=1}^n d_i$: upper bound on the number of long rolls

Interactive: A First LP for Cutting Stock

Definition (Cutting Pattern)

A cutting pattern is a vector $x \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, where x_i denotes the number of short rolls of length w_i cut out of a long roll.

$K := \sum_{i=1}^n d_i$: upper bound on the number of long rolls

Binary variables $y^{(k)}$ indicating whether roll k is needed

Interactive: A First LP for Cutting Stock

Definition (Cutting Pattern)

A cutting pattern is a vector $x \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, where x_i denotes the number of short rolls of length w_i cut out of a long roll.

$K := \sum_{i=1}^n d_i$: upper bound on the number of long rolls

Binary variables $y^{(k)}$ indicating whether roll k is needed

Cutting pattern $x^{(k)}$ for roll k

Formulate an ILP for the cutting stock problem!

Interactive: A First LP for Cutting Stock

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{k=1}^K y^{(k)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{k=1}^K x_i^{(k)} \geq d_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n \quad (\text{demand}) \\ & \sum_{k=1}^K w_i x_i^{(k)} \leq y^{(k)} W \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, K \quad (\text{valid pattern}) \\ & y^{(k)} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, K \\ & x^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, K \end{aligned}$$

Problems with this formulation?

Problems with this formulation?

Modeling: Enumerate all possible

Strength of LP relaxation: integrality gap ≥ 2

Problems with this formulation?

Modeling: Enumerate all possible

Strength of LP relaxation: integrality gap ≥ 2

Symmetry:

- ▶ Cutting patterns
- ▶ Distribution of the unused large rolls

Problems with this formulation?

Modeling: Enumerate all possible

Strength of LP relaxation: integrality gap ≥ 2

Symmetry:

- ▶ Cutting patterns
- ▶ Distribution of the unused large rolls

Observation: Problems in cutting plane and branch & bound approaches.

Problems with this formulation?

Modeling: Enumerate all possible

Strength of LP relaxation: integrality gap ≥ 2

Symmetry:

- ▶ Cutting patterns
- ▶ Distribution of the unused large rolls

Observation: Problems in cutting plane and branch & bound approaches.

⇒ Try a different ILP formulation.

Interactive: A Second LP for Cutting Stock

$$Q := \{x \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \leq W\}$$

Interactive: A Second LP for Cutting Stock

$$Q := \{x \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \leq W\}$$

Huge!

Enumerate $Q = \{x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(|Q|)}\}$.

Variable $u^{(j)}$ denotes how often cutting pattern $x^{(j)} \in Q$ is used.

Formulate an ILP for the cutting stock problem!

Interactive: A Second LP for Cutting Stock

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} u^{(j)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} x_i^{(j)} u^{(j)} \geq d_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n \quad (\text{demand}) \\ & u^{(j)} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{Q}| \end{aligned}$$

Interactive: A Second LP for Cutting Stock

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} u^{(j)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} x_i^{(j)} u^{(j)} \geq d_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n \quad (\text{demand}) \\ & u^{(j)} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{Q}| \end{aligned}$$

Benefits: Integrality gap is significantly reduced because the cutting patterns themselves cannot be fractional anymore.

Interactive: A Second LP for Cutting Stock

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} u^{(j)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} x_i^{(j)} u^{(j)} \geq d_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n \quad (\text{demand}) \\ & u^{(j)} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{Q}| \end{aligned}$$

Benefits: Integrality gap is significantly reduced because the cutting patterns themselves cannot be fractional anymore.

Problems: Generating \mathcal{Q} is insane.

Interactive: A Second LP for Cutting Stock

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} u^{(j)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} x_i^{(j)} u^{(j)} \geq d_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n \quad (\text{demand}) \\ & u^{(j)} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{Q}| \end{aligned}$$

Benefits: Integrality gap is significantly reduced because the cutting patterns themselves cannot be fractional anymore.

Problems: Generating \mathcal{Q} is insane.

⇒ Recall polyhedral description of LPs!

Idea of Column Generation

Observations:

1. In any vertex u , $|Q|$ inequalities have to be tight.

Idea of Column Generation

Observations:

1. In any vertex u , $|Q|$ inequalities have to be tight.
2. There are only n nontrivial inequalities.

Idea of Column Generation

Observations:

1. In any vertex u , $|Q|$ inequalities have to be tight.
 2. There are only n nontrivial inequalities.
- ⇒ Only very few variables $u^{(j)}$ can ever be positive.
- ⇒ Many have to be of the type $u^{(j)} = 0$.

Idea of Column Generation

Observations:

1. In any vertex u , $|Q|$ inequalities have to be tight.
 2. There are only n nontrivial inequalities.
- ⇒ Only very few variables $u^{(j)}$ can ever be positive.
⇒ Many have to be of the type $u^{(j)} = 0$.

Guess the correct choice of the non-zero variables,
i.e., restrict to a smaller subset $Q' \subset Q$.

Idea of Column Generation

Observations:

1. In any vertex u , $|Q|$ inequalities have to be tight.
 2. There are only n nontrivial inequalities.
- ⇒ Only very few variables $u^{(j)}$ can ever be positive.
⇒ Many have to be of the type $u^{(j)} = 0$.

Guess the correct choice of the non-zero variables,

i.e., restrict to a smaller subset $Q' \subset Q$.

How do we check if our guess Q' is already a solution over Q ?

How do we enlarge Q' if it is suboptimal?

Idea of Column Generation

Observations:

1. In any vertex u , $|Q|$ inequalities have to be tight.
2. There are only n nontrivial inequalities.

⇒ Only very few variables $u^{(j)}$ can ever be positive.

⇒ Many have to be of the type $u^{(j)} = 0$.

Guess the correct choice of the non-zero variables,

i.e., restrict to a smaller subset $Q' \subset Q$.

How do we check if our guess Q' is already a solution over Q ?

How do we enlarge Q' if it is suboptimal?

Duality theory

The Cutting Stock LP and its Dual

$$\min \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} u^{(j)}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} x_i^{(j)} u^{(j)} \geq d_i \quad \forall i \in [n]$$

$$u^{(j)} \geq 0 \quad \forall j \in [|\mathcal{Q}|]$$

The Cutting Stock LP and its Dual

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} u^{(j)} \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} x_i^{(j)} u^{(j)} \geq d_i \quad \forall i \in [n] \\ & u^{(j)} \geq 0 \quad \forall j \in [|\mathcal{Q}|] \end{array} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{max} \\ z_i \\ \leq \end{array} \right.$$

The Cutting Stock LP and its Dual

$$\begin{array}{l|l} \min & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} u^{(j)} \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} x_i^{(j)} u^{(j)} \geq d_i \quad \forall i \in [n] \\ & u^{(j)} \geq 0 \quad \forall j \in [|\mathcal{Q}|] \end{array} \quad \left| \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{max} \quad \max & \sum_{i=1}^n d_i z_i \\ & z_i \\ & \leq \end{array} \right.$$

The Cutting Stock LP and its Dual

$$\begin{array}{l|l} \min & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} u^{(j)} \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}|} x_i^{(j)} u^{(j)} \geq d_i \quad \forall i \in [n] \\ & u^{(j)} \geq 0 \quad \forall j \in [|\mathcal{Q}|] \end{array} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \max & \sum_{i=1}^n d_i z_i \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{(j)} z_i \leq 1 \quad \forall j \in [|\mathcal{Q}|] \\ & z_i \leq \end{array} \right.$$

The Cutting Stock LP and its Dual

$$\begin{array}{l|l} \min & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}'|} u^{(j)} \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Q}'|} x_i^{(j)} u^{(j)} \geq d_i \quad \forall i \in [n] \\ & u^{(j)} \geq 0 \quad \forall j \in [|\mathcal{Q}'|] \end{array} \quad \left| \quad \begin{array}{l} \max & \sum_{i=1}^n d_i z_i \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{(j)} z_i \leq 1 \quad \forall j \in [|\mathcal{Q}'|] \\ & z_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in [n] \end{array} \right.$$

Restrict to \mathcal{Q}' .

Recap: Duality Theory

- ▶ Basic primal solution corresponds to a basic dual solution
- ▶ They can be derived by complementary slackness
- ▶ $Q' \subset Q$ corresponds to a restriction of the dual constraints.
- ▶ A primal/dual pair is optimal iff
 1. their objective values agree (in this case always true) and
 2. both solutions are feasible.

Recap: Duality Theory

- ▶ Basic primal solution corresponds to a basic dual solution
- ▶ They can be derived by complementary slackness
- ▶ $Q' \subset Q$ corresponds to a restriction of the dual constraints.
- ▶ A primal/dual pair is optimal iff
 1. their objective values agree (in this case always true) and
 2. both solutions are feasible.

Idea: To check if a solution over Q' is optimal over Q as well, we will calculate the corresponding dual solution for the restricted LP. Then, we check all dual constraints for feasibility.

Recap: Duality Theory

- ▶ Basic primal solution corresponds to a basic dual solution
- ▶ They can be derived by complementary slackness
- ▶ $Q' \subset Q$ corresponds to a restriction of the dual constraints.
- ▶ A primal/dual pair is optimal iff
 1. their objective values agree (in this case always true) and
 2. both solutions are feasible.

Idea: To check if a solution over Q' is optimal over Q as well, we will calculate the corresponding dual solution for the restricted LP. Then, we check all dual constraints for feasibility.

If all constraints are feasible, we found an optimal solution.

Else, we add the violated constraint j for some cutting pattern $x^{(j)}$ to the dual which corresponds to adding the variable $u^{(j)}$ to the primal.

Finding a violated constraint

Let z^* be a dual solution.

Finding $j \in Q$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{(j)} z_i^* - 1 > 0.$$

is equivalent to finding

$$m^* := \max_{j \in Q} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{(j)} z_i^*$$

and checking whether $m^* > 1$.

The Cutting Stock Pricing Problem

Let z^* be a dual solution.

The Cutting Stock Pricing Problem

Let z^* be a dual solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^* x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \leq W \\ & x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{aligned}$$

The Cutting Stock Pricing Problem

Let z^* be a dual solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^* x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \leq W \\ & x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{aligned}$$

Observation: No need to completely enumerate \mathcal{Q} .
The Pricing problem should be efficiently solvable.

The Cutting Stock Pricing Problem

Let z^* be a dual solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^* x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \leq W \\ & x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{aligned}$$

Observation: No need to completely enumerate \mathcal{Q} .
The Pricing problem should be efficiently solvable.

If the optimal objective is greater than 0, we have found a cutting pattern that violates a dual inequality.

Column Generation: General Idea

1. Start with an LP and its dual

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max c^T x & \min b^T y \\ \text{s.t. } Ax \leq b & \text{s.t. } A^T y \geq c \\ x \geq 0 & y \geq 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{(P)} \\ \text{(D)} \end{array}$$

Restrict to $J' \subset [n]$ to obtain the reduced master problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max (c')^T x' & \min b^T y \\ \text{s.t. } A'x' \leq b & \text{s.t. } (A')^T y \geq c' \\ x' \geq 0 & y \geq 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{(P')} \\ \text{(D')} \end{array}$$

2. Solve (P') and (D') to get an optimal primal/dual pair (x', y) .

Column Generation: General Idea

3. Find a violated inequality of (D') by solving the pricing problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_j \quad & c_j - a^{(j)}y =: z \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & a^{(j)} \text{ is the } j\text{-th column of } A \end{aligned} \tag{\Pi}$$

4. If $z > 0$, add x_j to (P') and go to 2.

Otherwise, set $x'_j = 0$ if $j \notin J'$ and output (x', y) .

An Example: Parameters

Parameters:

$$W = 70$$

$$w_1 = 17$$

$$d_1 = 6$$

$$w_2 = 15$$

$$d_2 = 2$$

$$w_3 = 63$$

$$d_3 = 1$$

An Example: Parameters

Parameters:

$$W = 70$$

$$w_1 = 17$$

$$d_1 = 6$$

$$w_2 = 15$$

$$d_2 = 2$$

$$w_3 = 63$$

$$d_3 = 1$$

$$Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$$

An Example: Step 1

Reduced set $\mathcal{Q}' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$

An Example: Step 1

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$

$$\min u_1 + u_2 + u_3$$

$$\text{s.t. } u_1 \geq 6$$

$$u_2 \geq 2$$

$$u_3 \geq 1$$

$$u \geq 0$$

An Example: Step 1

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$

$$\min u_1 + u_2 + u_3$$

$$\text{s.t. } u_1 \geq 6$$

$$u_2 \geq 2$$

$$u_3 \geq 1$$

$$u \geq 0$$

$$\max 6z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3$$

$$\text{s.t. } z_1 \leq 1$$

$$z_2 \leq 1$$

$$z_3 \leq 1$$

$$z \geq 0$$

An Example: Step 1

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$

$$\min u_1 + u_2 + u_3$$

$$\text{s.t. } u_1 \geq 6$$

$$u_2 \geq 2$$

$$u_3 \geq 1$$

$$u \geq 0$$

$$\max 6z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3$$

$$\text{s.t. } z_1 \leq 1$$

$$z_2 \leq 1$$

$$z_3 \leq 1$$

$$z \geq 0$$

Optimal solution $u = (6, 2, 1)$ with dual $z = (1, 1, 1)$.

An Example: Step 1

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min u_1 + u_2 + u_3 & \max 6z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3 \\ \text{s.t. } u_1 & \geq 6 \\ & u_2 \geq 2 \\ & u_3 \geq 1 \\ & u & \geq 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \text{s.t. } z_1 & \leq 1 \\ & z_2 \leq 1 \\ & z_3 \leq 1 \\ & z & \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Optimal solution $u = (6, 2, 1)$ with dual $z = (1, 1, 1)$.

Pricing problem:
$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1 \\ \text{s.t.} & 17x_1 + 15x_2 + 63x_3 \leq 70 \\ & x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{array}$$

An Example: Step 1

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min u_1 + u_2 + u_3 & \max 6z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3 \\ \text{s.t. } u_1 & \geq 6 & \text{s.t. } z_1 & \leq 1 \\ & u_2 & \geq 2 & z_2 & \leq 1 \\ & & u_3 & \geq 1 & z_3 & \leq 1 \\ & u & \geq 0 & z & \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Optimal solution $u = (6, 2, 1)$ with dual $z = (1, 1, 1)$.

Pricing problem:
$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1 \\ \text{s.t.} & 17x_1 + 15x_2 + 63x_3 \leq 70 \\ & x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{array}$$

Possible solution $x = (4, 0, 0)$

An Example: Step 2

Reduced set $\mathcal{Q}' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (4, 0, 0)\}$

An Example: Step 2

Reduced set $\mathcal{Q}' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (4, 0, 0)\}$

$$\begin{array}{llll} \min & u_1 & + u_2 & + u_3 & + u_4 \\ \text{s.t.} & u_1 & & & + 4u_4 \geq 6 \\ & & u_2 & & \geq 2 \\ & & & u_3 & \geq 1 \\ & & & & u \geq 0 \end{array}$$

An Example: Step 2

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (4, 0, 0)\}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 \\ \text{s.t.} & u_1 + 4u_4 \geq 6 \\ & u_2 \geq 2 \\ & u_3 \geq 1 \\ & u \geq 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & 6z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3 \\ \text{s.t.} & z_1 \leq 1 \\ & z_2 \leq 1 \\ & z_3 \leq 1 \\ & 4z_1 \leq 1 \\ & z \geq 0 \end{array}$$

An Example: Step 2

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (4, 0, 0)\}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 \\ \text{s.t.} & u_1 + 4u_4 \geq 6 \\ & u_2 \geq 2 \\ & u_3 \geq 1 \\ & u \geq 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & 6z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3 \\ \text{s.t.} & z_1 \leq 1 \\ & z_2 \leq 1 \\ & z_3 \leq 1 \\ & 4z_1 \leq 1 \\ & z \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Optimal solution $u = (0, 2, 1, \frac{6}{4})$ with dual $z = (\frac{1}{4}, 1, 1)$.

An Example: Step 2

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (4, 0, 0)\}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 \\ \text{s.t.} & u_1 + 4u_4 \geq 6 \\ & u_2 \geq 2 \\ & u_3 \geq 1 \\ & u \geq 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \max & 6z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3 \\ \text{s.t.} & z_1 \leq 1 \\ & z_2 \leq 1 \\ & z_3 \leq 1 \\ & 4z_1 \leq 1 \\ & z \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Optimal solution $u = (0, 2, 1, \frac{6}{4})$ with dual $z = (\frac{1}{4}, 1, 1)$.

Pricing problem:
$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & \frac{1}{4}x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1 \\ \text{s.t.} & 17x_1 + 15x_2 + 63x_3 \leq 70 \\ & x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{array}$$

An Example: Step 2

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (4, 0, 0)\}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 \\ \text{s.t.} & u_1 + 4u_4 \geq 6 \\ & u_2 \geq 2 \\ & u_3 \geq 1 \\ & u \geq 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \max & 6z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3 \\ \text{s.t.} & z_1 \leq 1 \\ & z_2 \leq 1 \\ & z_3 \leq 1 \\ & 4z_1 \leq 1 \\ & z \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Optimal solution $u = (0, 2, 1, \frac{6}{4})$ with dual $z = (\frac{1}{4}, 1, 1)$.

Pricing problem:
$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & \frac{1}{4}x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1 \\ \text{s.t.} & 17x_1 + 15x_2 + 63x_3 \leq 70 \\ & x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{array}$$

Possible solution $x = (0, 4, 0)$

An Example: Step 3

Reduced set $\mathcal{Q}' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (4, 0, 0), (0, 4, 0)\}$

An Example: Step 3

Reduced set $\mathcal{Q}' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (4, 0, 0), (0, 4, 0)\}$

$$\min u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 + u_5$$

$$\text{s.t. } u_1 + 4u_4 \geq 6$$

$$u_2 + 4u_5 \geq 2$$

$$u_3 \geq 1$$

$$u \geq 0$$

An Example: Step 3

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (4, 0, 0), (0, 4, 0)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 + u_5 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & u_1 + 4u_4 \geq 6 \\ & u_2 + 4u_5 \geq 2 \\ & u_3 \geq 1 \\ & u \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & 6z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & z_1 \leq 1 \\ & z_2 \leq 1 \\ & z_3 \leq 1 \\ & 4z_1 \leq 1 \\ & 4z_2 \leq 1 \\ & z \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

An Example: Step 3

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (4, 0, 0), (0, 4, 0)\}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 + u_5 & \max 6z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3 \\ \text{s.t. } u_1 & + 4u_4 \geq 6 & \text{s.t. } z_1 & \leq 1 \\ & u_2 + 4u_5 \geq 2 & & z_2 \leq 1 \\ & u_3 \geq 1 & & z_3 \leq 1 \\ & & & 4z_1 \leq 1 \\ & & & 4z_2 \leq 1 \\ & u & \geq 0 & z \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Optimal solution $u = (0, 0, 1, \frac{6}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ with dual $z = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 1)$.

An Example: Step 3

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (4, 0, 0), (0, 4, 0)\}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 + u_5 \\ \text{s.t.} & u_1 + 4u_4 \geq 6 \\ & u_2 + 4u_5 \geq 2 \\ & u_3 \geq 1 \\ & u \geq 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \max & 6z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3 \\ \text{s.t.} & z_1 \leq 1 \\ & z_2 \leq 1 \\ & z_3 \leq 1 \\ & 4z_1 \leq 1 \\ & 4z_2 \leq 1 \\ & z \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Optimal solution $u = (0, 0, 1, \frac{6}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ with dual $z = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 1)$.

Pricing problem:
$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & \frac{1}{4}x_1 + \frac{1}{4}x_2 + x_3 - 1 \\ \text{s.t.} & 17x_1 + 15x_2 + 63x_3 \leq 70 \\ & x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{array}$$

An Example: Step 3

Reduced set $Q' = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (4, 0, 0), (0, 4, 0)\}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 + u_5 & \max 6z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3 \\ \text{s.t. } u_1 & + 4u_4 \geq 6 & \text{s.t. } z_1 & \leq 1 \\ & u_2 + 4u_5 \geq 2 & & z_2 \leq 1 \\ & u_3 \geq 1 & & z_3 \leq 1 \\ & & & 4z_1 \leq 1 \\ & & & 4z_2 \leq 1 \\ & u & \geq 0 & z \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Optimal solution $u = (0, 0, 1, \frac{6}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ with dual $z = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 1)$.

Pricing problem:
$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & \frac{1}{4}x_1 + \frac{1}{4}x_2 + x_3 - 1 \\ \text{s.t.} & 17x_1 + 15x_2 + 63x_3 \leq 70 \\ & x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{array}$$

End